App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1931. (Inward)

File No. 299-31

Serial Nos. 1 & 2

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 1 & 2

Part B for July 1932

SUBJECT.

Transfer of the idol and the Tanjore grant of Sri Bhaktavatsalaswami Devasthanam to the Sri Sattanathaswami Devasthanam in the Pudukkottai State.

No. 69-71/D/7 the July 1932 to the M.C. & Comptroller & Engg. Ref. Service.

Sanctions the above proposal

28/7/32

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 299 of 1931.

Serial No.

Letter No 595/31-32 dated the 21st 24th June 1932 from the Jaffna Commr requesting sanction to install the Sri devasena idol in the Sri Sadasiva devasena temple at Sada puram Nyanar and to transfer the endowment (cash) of the former deity to the latter institution, as the Bhavanishankar temple is a defunct institution. The Bhavanishankar temple is reported to be non existent for a long time and the idol is stated to be kept in the house of one Shanbaga Murgappa who is enjoying the cash grant of Rs 11-6-0 per annum. It is presumed to mean this idol, after consecration in the Sri Sadasivan devasena temple at the place, transferring its cash & rent and the salaries of trustees &c. due to the institution to the new idol is to be installed. Both persons claiming to be hereditary trustees

6 JUL. 32

6 JUL. 32

29/6/32 The proposal recommended may be sanctioned. Draft is herewith

Fwd Secy

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

18/7 MM

5/7/32 MM 4/7 4/7

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

Survey File No. 299 of 1931.

General

GP No. 69-71 of 8.7.32, to the Survey Commr. the Supdt, Rev: Survey & Asst Comptr. Sanctioning fare Reversal of M. P.

2.



No. 595/31-32
Encl One File

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Muz 299-31-1 *Bangalore,* 21st June 1932
24

FROM

S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To

Rajasevaparayana

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

In forwarding herewith the vernacular records received with the Shimoga Deputy Commissioner's letter No.Muz.C.1999/30-31 dated 25th - - ay 1932 (copy enclosed) regarding the installation of Sri Bhavani ---- Sankaradevaru of Sadasivapur Agrahar, Thirthahalli Taluk in Sadasivadevaru temple of the same village, I have the honor to state as follows

From the Inam Settlement records it is seen that the idol of Sri Bhavani Sankaradevaru had a temple, which was in good condition. --- --- Subsequently the temple appears to have been neglected and the idol --- removed by the forefathers of the present vahivatdar to their own house The worship is since being conducted in the vahivatdar's house and the cash grant of Rs.11-6-0 per annum endowed to the deity is being paid the vahivatdar. The deity has no other source of income besides the cash grant. As the meagre income of the deity in question does not -- admit of its being installed in a separate building, the Deputy Commissioner recommends that the idol of Bhavani Sankaradevaru may be install in the Sadasivadevaru temple at the same place and the cash grant of the former deity paid to the archak of the latter institution, who is agreeable to perform puja to both the deities. The villagers also ---- support the proposed installation. In the circumstances report, I request that sanction of Government be kindly be obtained to install Sri Bhavanisankara Devaru in the Sadasivadevaru temple and to transfer the cash endowment of the former deity to the latter institution as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner. Copies of Shimoga Deputy Commissioner's letter dated 23rd November 1931 and this office letter dated -

7th January 1932 addressed to the Deputy Commissioner enclosed for reference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Kuzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.Muz.C.1999/30-31 dated 25th May 1932 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.595/31-32 dated 7-1-32 calling for information whether the villagers are agreeable to the installation of Sri Bhavani Shankara devaru of Sadasivapur Agrahar in Sri Kalanathadevaru temple at Araga in the Tirthahalli taluk, I have the honor to state that the Amildar Tirthahalli taluk reports that the villagers are not agreeable to the deity being shifted to kalanathadevaru temple at Araga and they want it to be -- installed in Sadasivadevaru temple in Sadasivapur Agrahar where there is -- suitable place for it. The pujari of the latter institution has also --- agreed to do puja to Sri Bhavanishankaradevaru provided, it is installed on a ~~XX~~ peeta. As regards the transfer of the cash endowment to the deity, the Amildar suggests that the arrears of the cash endowment due to the temple may kindly be sanctioned for meeting the charges of installing the deity. The Sub Division Officer Shimoga recommends that the idol may be installed in the Sadasivadevaru temple at Sadasivapur agrahar and the arrears of malnad cash grant due to Bhavanishankara devaru may be sanctioned as suggested by the Amildar.

Under the circumstances I request that the recommend the Sub Division Officer may be approved.

The connected records are herewith retransmitted for kind proper and return.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C.1999/30-31 dated 23rd November 1931 from the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

In forwarding herewith the records received from the Sub Division Officer, Shimoga, with his yadast No.D.1.21/29-30 dated 6-3-31 regarding-th installation of Sri Bhavani Shankara devaru of Sadasivapur Agrahar in Sri Kalanathadevaru temple at Araga, in the Tirthahalli taluk, I have the honor to state that Sri Bhavanishankara devaru is endowed with a cash grant of Rs.11-6-0 per annum, that it has got no temple of its own, that it is kept in the house of the Vahivatdar, Manjappa and that it is being worshipped by the Vahivatdar, who is in the receipt of the cash grant for a long time.

When the Muzrai Amildar inspected the village, Araga, he observed that the temple had fallen down and no worship was being conducted. He therefore recommended the institution to be declared defunct.

When, however, enquiries were made, by the Amildar it was found out that the temple inspected by the Muzrai Amildar was of Bana Sankaridevi, which had fallen down and had been overgrown with trees and that Bhavani Shankaradevaru referred to was of Sadasivapur Agrahar, Banasankari devi temple is not endowed with any cash or land grant.

From the Inam settlement, it is seen that the idol of Sri Bhavani Shankaradevaru had a temple, which was in good condition and probably after its fall the forefathers of the present vahivatdar brought the idol to their own house and the worship is since being conducted in his ~~the~~ house.

The Sub Divis on Officer recommends that the idol may be installed in the Kalanathadevaru Temple at Araga and the cash grant of Sri Bhavani Shankara devaru transferred to that institution. The Vahivatdars of Kalanatha devaru temple and Bhavani Shankaradevaru have no objection to the installation of Shankara devaru in the Kalanathadevaru temple.

Under the circumstances, I request that the recommendation of the Sub Division Officer may be approved.

"C o p y"

For Inzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.595/31-32 dated 7th January 1932 from the Muzrai Commissi in Mysore to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

With reference to your letter No.1-c.1999/30-31 dated 23rd November 1931 regarding the installation of Sri Bhavanisankaradevaru of Sadasivapur Agrahar in Sri Kalanathadevaru temple at Araga in the Thirthahalli Taluk, a the transfer of cash grant of Rs.11-6-0 from the former institution to the latt I have the honor to request to be informed whether the villagers are agreeab to the proposed installation and the transfer of the cash endowment. The - records accompany.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read -

Letter No.595 31-32, dated the 21st /24th June 1932 from the Muzrai Commissioner, reporting that the Sri Bhavanishankara Devaru temple at Sadasivapura Agrahar is a defunct Muzrai Institution, that the idol is now kept and worshipped in the house of the present Vahivatdar, Shanbhog Manjappa, who is enjoying the cash grant of Rs.11-8-0 per annum endowed to the diety and requesting for reasons stated sanction to the installation of the idol of Sri Bhavanishankaradevaru in the Sri Sadasivadevaru temple at the place and to the transfer of the cash grant with the accumulated arrears relating to the former diety to the latter institution.

Order No. 69-71 | Muz 299-31-2 Inam file Gms

Dated, Bangalore, 7th July 1932.

Sanctioned.

For Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, returning records.

The Superintendent, Revenue Survey.

The Comptroller to Government.

ACH,S.

Diary No of letter replied to ...1524

Section Inam

File No. 299-31

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Inam

the *193*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue ...8/7/... 193 2

Signed by B Muddukrishnappa

Read— Letter No S 95/31-32 dated the 21st/24th June 1932 from the Inam Commissioner stating that the Sri Bhavanishankara Devasthana Temple at Sadalga ~~Order thereon.~~ Agrahara is a Dharmada Inam with certain, viz., cash allowance which is now ~~not~~ included in the present Vakf estate, the income of ~~the~~ Shankaracharya Mangalpada, etc.,

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

and relating,
[illegible] between the
[illegible]allation of the
of Sri Bhavanisankaradevaru
[illegible]ol in the Sri Sa[illegible]
[illegible] Devaru temple
at the place [illegible]
[illegible] Commissioner,
[illegible] Manager of
the Court grant to the
Commissioner
relating to the former deity to the latter
[illegible] Manager of
the [illegible] having
[illegible] transferred to the
[illegible] institution,
[illegible] No. 69-71 & 8/7/32
[illegible] enclosed herewith.

List of Enclosure

8/7

8/7/32

The Inspector
[illegible] the records
the Inspector, Revenue,
the Commissioner
[illegible]

Copied by

Examined by